REMARKS

The Office Action dated May 23, 2005 has been reviewed and carefully considered. Claims 10-20 are added. Claims 1-20 are pending, the independent claims being 1, 2, 5, 8, 10, 11, 14 and 17. Claim 1 is amended. Reconsideration of the above-identified application, as amended and in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-9 stand objected to for informalities.

Reference is made to the claim amendments made in our reply to the previous Office Action.

Unrelated to what the Office Action cites as "informalities," claim 1 is now amended with an obvious enhancement to claim terminology (specification, page 1, lines 6-7).

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,611,262 to Suzuki in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,767,846 to Nakamura et al. ("Nakamura") and ISO/IEC 14496-1 of the MPEG-4 Standards ("ISO/IEC 14496").

Reference is made to the remarks, and to the claims, provided in our reply to the previous Office Action. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

New claim 10 recites, ". . . transmitter terminal is configured . . . for using the stored data, at multiple instants later than said instant of the given instants, to form multiple respective ones of said plural access points, said plural access points defining the

points in said stream via any one or more of which user modification is made to scene description carried by said stream."

Notably, Nakamura relates to enhancement of scene editing methodology described in a 1990 publication (col. 1, lines 23-34, line 50; col. 2, lines 29-32), and would not have motivated the proposed modification to Suzuki. Nor would motivation have existed to combine the cited references so as to create an embodiment within claim 10.

The cited references, alone or in combination, fail to disclose, suggest or feature the above-quoted aspect of the invention.

New claim 10 finds support in original claim 1 and in the specification (e.g., page 1, lines 6-7; page 2, lines 3-9).

New claim 11 finds support in original claim 2 and in the specification (e.g., page 2, lines 3-9).

New claims 12 and 13 find support in original claims 3 and 4, respectively, and in FIG. 3 and accompanying text in the specification.

New claim 14 finds support in original claim 5, and in the specification (e.g., page 2, lines 3-9).

New claim 15 finds support in original claim 6, and in FIG. 3 and accompanying text in the specification.

New claim 16 finds support in original claim 7, and in the specification (e.g., page 5, lines 10-14).

New claim 17 finds support in original claim 8, and in the specification (e.g., page 1, lines 16-19; page 2, lines 3-9; page 3, lines 24-27).

New claim 18 finds support in original claim 9.

New claim 19 finds support in the specification (e.g., page 3, lines 3-5).

New claim 20 finds support in the specification (e.g., page 3, line 24 – page 4, line 11).

A check for $800.00 (4 x $200.00) is included in payment of the fee for adding four, additional independent claims in excess of three.

For all the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all the present claims are patentable in view of the cited references. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dan Piotrowski
Registration No. 42,079

Date: 9/21/05

By: Steve Cha
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 44,069

Mail all correspondence to:
Dan Piotrowski, Registration No. 42,079
US PHILIPS CORPORATION
P.O. Box 3001
Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510-8001
Phone: (914) 333-9624
Fax: (914) 332-0615

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to MAIL STOP AF, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22313 on 9/21/05.

Steve Cha, Reg. No. 44,069
(Name of Registered Rep.)

9/21/05
(Signature and Date)